arXiv:1007.2852v1 [gr-qc] 18 Jun 2010

Gravitational Perfect Fluid Collapse in $f(R)$ Gravity

M. Sharif [*]and H. Rizwana Kausar [†]
Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab,
Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate spherically symmetric perfect fluid gravitational collapse in metric $f(R)$ gravity. We take non-static spherically symmetric metric in the interior region and static spherically symmetric metric in the exterior region of a star. The junction conditions between interior and exterior spacetimes are derived. The field equations in $f(R)$ theory are solved using the assumption of constant Ricci scalar. Inserting their solution into junction conditions, the gravitational mass is found. Further, the apparent horizons and their time of formation is discussed. We conclude that the constant scalar curvature term $f(R_0)$ acts as a source of repulsive force and thus slows down the collapse of matter. The comparison with the corresponding results available in general relativity indicates that $f(R_0)$ plays the role of the cosmological constant.

Keywords: $f(R)$ theory; Gravitational collapse.

1 Introduction

New evidence coming from astrophysics and cosmology has revealed a quite unexpected picture of the expanding universe. This cosmic acceleration is directly supported by high red-shift supernovae, large scale structure and weak lensing. What causing the repulsive effect in the cosmic expansion is one of the mysteries of modern era. Theoretically, the phenomenon can be accounted for either by supplementing the energy-momentum tensor by exotic matter component with large negative pressure (dark energy) [1]-[3] or by modifying gravity itself. There exist a family of modified theories of gravity which extend in some way general relativity, for example, scalar tensor theory of gravity, Brans-Dick theory, string theory, Guass-Bonnet theory and $f(R)$ theories of gravity.

---

[*] msharif@math.pu.edu.pk
[†] rizwa_math@yahoo.com

The $f(R)$ theories of gravity provide the very natural gravitational alternative for dark energy. It has given birth to a great number of papers in recent years, for example, [4]-[6]. Several features including solar system test [7], Newtonian limit [8], gravitational stability [9] and singularity problems [10] are exhaustively discussed in this theory. General principles such as the so-called energy conditions have been used to place constraints on $f(R)$ theory [11]. Amendola *et al.* [12] have derived the conditions under which dark energy $f(R)$ models are cosmologically viable. Erickcek *et al.* [13] found the unique exterior solution for a stellar object by matching it with interior solution in the presence of matter sources in metric $f(R)$ gravity. Kainulainen *et al.* [14] studied the interior spacetime of stars in Palatini $f(R)$ gravity.

Gravitational collapse is one of the most important and thorny problems in general relativity. It is at the heart of structure formation in the universe through which clusters of galaxies, stellar groups, stars and planets came into being. One can study the gravitational collapse by taking the interior and exterior regions of spacetime. The proper junction conditions are formed that allow the smooth matching of these regions. Oppenheimer and Synder [15] were the pioneers to study gravitational collapse. Herrera and Santos [16] discussed the dynamics of gravitational collapse which undergoes dissipation in the form of heat flow and radiation.

It has been predicted that gravitational collapse of massive objects leads to the formation of spacetime singularities [17],[18]. These singularities can be of two types: an observable singularity (naked singularity) and a singularity that cannot be observed (black hole). Penrose [19] suggested a cosmic censorship conjecture (CCC) according to which the final outcome of a gravitational collapse must be a black hole. Most of the studies in gravitational collapse have considered the Tolman-Bondi-Lemaitor spherically symmetric spacetimes containing irrotational dust to support or disprove the CCC [20],[21]. A general conclusion from these studies is that a central curvature singularity forms but its local or global visibility depends on the initial data.

An extensive study of gravitational collapse has been carried out in the presence of cosmological constant. Markovic and Shapiro [22] generalized the pioneer work in the presence of a positive cosmological constant. Lake [23] extended this study with both positive and negative cosmological constants. Sharif and Ahmad [24] investigated gravitational collapse of a perfect fluid with a positive cosmological constant and generalized this work to five and higher dimensional spacetimes [25]. Recently, Sharif and Abbas [26] extended the same work for electromagnetic charged perfect fluid. In this paper, we explore gravitational collapse of a perfect fluid in metric $f(R)$ gravity.

The paper is organized as follows. Junction conditions are discussed in the next section. In section **3**, we solve the field equations in metric $f(R)$ gravity. Here we assume the condition of constant scalar curvature which is very large to meet the requirement of gravitational collapse. Section **4** is devoted to study the apparent horizons and their time of formation. We summarize and discuss the results in section **5**.

2 Junction Conditions

In this section, we derive the conditions to be satisfied at the surface of a collapsing perfect fluid sphere. We assume spherical symmetry about an origin O and a $3D$ hypersurface Σ centered at O which divides spherically symmetric spacetime into interior and exterior regions referred as V^- and V^+ respectively. The interior spacetime to Σ can be described by the line element

$$ds_-^2 = dt^2 - X^2dr^2 - Y^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{2.1}$$

where X and Y are functions of t and r. For the exterior spacetime to Σ, we take the Schwarzschild spacetime

$$ds_+^2 = (1 - \frac{2M}{R})dT^2 - \frac{1}{1-\frac{2M}{R}}dR^2 - R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{2.2}$$

where M is a constant. In accordance with Israel junction conditions [28], we suppose that the first and second fundamental forms inherited by Σ from the interior and exterior spacetimes are same, i.e.,

1. The continuity of line element over Σ gives

$$(ds_-^2)_\Sigma = (ds_+^2)_\Sigma = ds_\Sigma^2. \tag{2.3}$$

2. The continuity of extrinsic curvature over Σ yields

$$[K_{ij}] = K^{+}_{ij} - K^{-}_{ij} = 0, \quad (i, j = 0, 2, 3) \tag{2.4}$$

where K_{ij} is the extrinsic curvature defined as

$$K^{\pm}_{ij} = -n^{\pm}_{\sigma}\left(\frac{\partial^2 x^{\sigma}_{\pm}}{\partial\xi^i\partial\xi^j} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial x^{\mu}_{\pm}\partial x^{\nu}_{\pm}}{\partial\xi^i\partial\xi^j}\right), \quad (\sigma, \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3). \tag{2.5}$$

Here ξ^i correspond to the coordinates on Σ, $x^{\sigma}_{\pm}$ are the coordinates in $V^{\pm}$, the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}$ are calculated from the interior or exterior spacetimes and $n^{\pm}_{\sigma}$ are components of outward unit normals to Σ in the coordinates $x^{\sigma}_{\pm}$.

In terms of interior and exterior spacetime coordinates respectively, the equation of hypersurface is given as follows

$$h_{-}(r, t) = r - r_{\Sigma} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$h_{+}(R, T) = R - R_{\Sigma}(T) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where r_{Σ} is a constant. When we make use of Eq.(2.6) in Eq.(2.1), the metric on Σ becomes

$$(ds^2_{-})_{\Sigma} = dt^2 - Y^2(r_{\Sigma}, t)(d\theta^2 + \sin\theta^2 d\phi^2). \tag{2.8}$$

Also, Eqs.(2.7) and (2.2) yield

$$(ds^2_{+})_{\Sigma} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\Sigma}} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\Sigma}}}\left(\frac{dR_{\Sigma}}{dT}\right)^2\right) dT^2 - R^2_{\Sigma}(d\theta^2 + \sin\theta^2 d\phi^2). \tag{2.9}$$

Here we assume that

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\Sigma}} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\Sigma}}}\left(\frac{dR_{\Sigma}}{dT}\right)^2\right) > 0, \tag{2.10}$$

so that T is a timelike coordinate. From Eqs.(2.3), (2.8) and (2.9), it follows that

$$R_{\Sigma} = Y(r_{\Sigma}, t), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\Sigma}} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2M}{R_{\Sigma}}}\left(\frac{dR_{\Sigma}}{dT}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dT = dt. \tag{2.12}$$

The outward unit normals in regions V^- and V^+, respectively, are found from Eqs.(2.6) and (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} n^-_\mu &= (0, X(r_\Sigma, t), 0, 0), && (2.13)\\ n^+_\mu &= (-\dot{R}_\Sigma, \dot{T}, 0, 0). && (2.14) \end{aligned}$$

The components of extrinsic curvature $K^\pm_{ij}$ become

$$\begin{aligned} K^-_{00} &= 0, && (2.15)\\ K^-_{22} &= \csc^2\theta K^-_{33} = \left(\frac{YY'}{X}\right)_\Sigma, && (2.16)\\ K^+_{00} &= \left(\dot{R}\ddot{T} - \dot{T}\ddot{R} + \frac{3M\dot{R}^2\dot{T}}{R(R-2M)} - \frac{M(R-2M)\dot{T}^3}{R^3}\right)_\Sigma, && (2.17)\\ K^+_{22} &= \csc^2\theta K^+_{33} = [\dot{T}(R-2M)]_\Sigma, && (2.18) \end{aligned}$$

where dot and prime mean differentiation with respect to t and r respectively. From Eq.(2.4), the continuity of extrinsic curvature gives

$$K^+_{00} = 0, \quad K^+_{22} = K^-_{22}. \qquad (2.19)$$

Using Eqs.(2.15)-(2.19) along with Eqs.(2.11) and (2.12), the junction conditions turn out to be

$$(X\dot{Y'} - \dot{X}Y')_\Sigma = 0, \qquad (2.20)$$

$$M = \left(\frac{Y}{2} + \frac{Y}{2}\dot{Y}^2 - \frac{Y}{2X^2}Y'^2\right)_\Sigma. \qquad (2.21)$$

Equations (2.11), (2.12), (2.20) and (2.21) are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the smooth matching of the interior and exterior regions.

3 Field Equations in $f(R)$ Gravity and their Solution

The action of $f(R)$ gravity in the presence of matter described by the matter Lagrangian L_M is given by [1]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{f(R)}{2\kappa} + L_M\right], \qquad (3.22)$$

where the matter Lagrangian depends on the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the matter fields. Varying this action with respect to metric tensor, we obtain the following field equations

$$F(R)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R)g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}F(R) + g_{\mu\nu}\Box F(R) = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.23}$$

where $F(R) \equiv df(R)/dR$, $\Box \equiv \nabla^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}$ with ∇_{μ} representing the covariant derivative and $\kappa(= 8\pi)$ is the coupling constant in gravitational units. These are the fourth order partial differential equations in the metric tensor. The fourth order is due to the last two terms on the left side of the equation. Capozziello, *et al.* have discussed the general solutions of these fourth order equation in the context of spherically symmetry [27]. If we take $f(R) = R$, these equations reduce to the field equations in general relativity. The energy-momentum tensor for perfect fluid is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} - pg_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.24}$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is the pressure and $u_{\mu} = \delta^{0}_{\mu}$ is the four-vector velocity in co-moving coordinates. Using Eq.(3.24) in Eq.(3.23), we have

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{F(R)}[8\pi((\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} - pg_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}f(R)g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}F(R) - g_{\mu\nu}\Box F(R)]. \tag{3.25}$$

Equations (3.25) for the interior spacetime take the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= -\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} - 2\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} = \frac{1}{F(R)}[8\pi\rho + \frac{1}{2}f(R) - (\frac{-F''(R)}{X^2} + \frac{\dot{X}\dot{F}(R)}{X} \\ &+ \frac{\dot{X}F'(R)}{X^3} + \frac{2\dot{Y}\dot{F}(R)}{Y} - \frac{2Y'F'(R)}{X^2Y})], \qquad (3.26) \\ R_{11} &= -\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} - 2\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{2}{X^2}[\frac{Y''}{Y} - \frac{Y'X'}{XY}] \\ &= \frac{1}{F(R)}[\frac{1}{2}f(R) - 8\pi p + X^2(\ddot{F}(R) + \frac{2\dot{Y}\dot{F}(R)}{Y} - \frac{2Y'F'(R)}{X^2Y})], \qquad (3.27) \\ R_{22} &= -\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} - (\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y})^2 - \frac{\dot{X}}{X}\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{2}{X^2}[\frac{Y''}{Y} + (\frac{Y'}{Y})^2 - \frac{X'}{X}\frac{Y'}{Y} - (\frac{X}{Y})^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{F(R)}[\frac{1}{2}f(R) - 8\pi p + Y^2(\ddot{F}(R) - \frac{F''(R)}{X^2} + \frac{\dot{X}\dot{F}(R)}{X} + \frac{\dot{X}F'(R)}{X^3})], \qquad (3.28) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{33} &= \sin^2\theta R_{22}, && (3.29) \\ R_{01} &= -2\frac{\dot{Y}'}{Y} + 2\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\frac{Y'}{Y} = \frac{1}{F(R)}[\dot{F}' - \frac{\dot{X}}{X}F']. && (3.30) \end{aligned}$$

To solve this set of the field equations (3.26)-(3.30), we need to integrate Eq.(3.30) to get the explicit value of X. It follows from Eq.(3.30) that

$$X = \int \frac{2\dot{Y}'F + \dot{F}'Y}{2Y'F + F'Y} dt.$$

We see that this cannot be integrated unless we have some value of F such that derivative of the denominator should be the numerator. The condition of constant scalar curvature ($R = R_0$), according to which $F(R_0) = constant$, enables us to solve this integral. This means that the Ricci scalar obtained by contracting Eq.(3.25) must be constant which is possible only if we take pressure and density constant, i.e., $p = p_0,\ \rho = \rho_0$. Consequently, the field equations become

$$-\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} - 2\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} = \frac{1}{F(R_0)}[8\pi\rho_0 + \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)], \tag{3.31}$$

$$-\frac{\ddot{X}}{X} - 2\frac{\dot{X}}{X}\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{2}{X^2}[\frac{Y''}{Y} - \frac{Y'X'}{XY}] = \frac{1}{F(R_0)}[\frac{1}{2}f(R_0) - 8\pi p_0], \tag{3.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} - (\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y})^2 - \frac{\dot{X}}{X}\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} + \frac{2}{X^2}[\frac{Y''}{Y} + (\frac{Y'}{Y})^2 - \frac{X'}{X}\frac{Y'}{Y} - (\frac{X}{Y})^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{F(R_0)}[\frac{1}{2}f(R_0) - 8\pi p_0], \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

$$\frac{\dot{Y}'}{Y} - \frac{\dot{X}}{X}\frac{Y'}{Y} = 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Now we solve Eqs.(3.31)-(3.34). Integration of Eq.(3.34) with respect to t yields

$$X = \frac{Y'}{W}, \tag{3.35}$$

where $W = W(r)$ is an arbitrary function of r. Using this equation in Eqs.(3.31)-(3.34), it follows that

$$2\frac{\ddot{Y}}{Y} + (\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y})^2 + \frac{(1 - W^2)}{Y^2} = \frac{1}{F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]. \tag{3.36}$$

Integrating this equation with respect to t, it follows that

$$\dot{Y}^2 = W^2 - 1 + 2\frac{m}{Y} + \frac{1}{F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]\frac{Y^2}{3}, \tag{3.37}$$

where $m = m(r)$ is an arbitrary function of r and is related to the mass of the collapsing system. Substituting Eqs.(3.35), (3.37) into Eq.(3.31), we get

$$m' = \frac{4\pi}{F(R_0)}(p_0 + \rho_0)Y'Y^2. \tag{3.38}$$

Integrating this equation with respect to r, we obtain

$$m(r) = \frac{4\pi}{F(R_0)}(p_0 + \rho_0)\int Y'Y^2 dr + c(t), \tag{3.39}$$

where $c(t)$ is a function of integration. Since mass cannot be negative due to physical reasons, we must take the function $m(r)$ positive. Using Eqs.(3.35) and (3.37) into the junction condition Eq.(2.21), it follows that

$$M = m + \frac{1}{6F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]Y^3. \tag{3.40}$$

The total energy $\tilde{M}(r,t)$ up to a radius r at time t inside the hypersurface Σ can be calculated by using the definition of mass function [29] given by

$$\tilde{M}(r,t) = \frac{1}{2}Y(1 + g^{\mu\nu}Y_{,\mu}Y_{,\nu}). \tag{3.41}$$

For the interior metric, it becomes

$$\tilde{M}(r,t) = \frac{1}{2}Y[1 + \dot{Y}^2 - (\frac{Y'}{X})^2]. \tag{3.42}$$

Replacing Eqs.(3.35) and (3.37) in Eq.(3.42), we obtain

$$\tilde{M}(r,t) = m(r) + \frac{1}{6F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]Y^3. \tag{3.43}$$

Here we assume

$$\frac{1}{F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right] > 0 \tag{3.44}$$

and the condition

$$W(r)=1. \tag{3.45}$$

Using Eqs.(3.35), (3.37) and (3.45) so that we have analytic solutions in closed form as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \left(\frac{6mF(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\sinh^{\frac{2}{3}}\alpha(r,t), && (3.46)\\ X &= \left(\frac{6mF(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}[\frac{m'}{3m}\sinh\alpha(r,t)\\ &+ t_s'(r)\sqrt{\frac{4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}{3F(R_0)}}\cosh\alpha(r,t)]\sinh^{\frac{-1}{3}}\alpha(r,t), && (3.47)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(r,t)=\sqrt{\frac{3(4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0))}{4F(R_0)}}[t_s(r)-t]. \tag{3.48}$$

Here $t_s(r)$ is an arbitrary function of r which denotes the time formation of singularity for a particular shell at distance r.

When $f(R_0)\to 8\pi(p_0-\rho_0)$, the above solution corresponds to the Tolman-Bondi solution [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{f(R_0)\to 8\pi(p_0-\rho_0)} X(r,t) &= \frac{m'(t_s-t)+2mt_s'}{[6m^2(t_s-t)]^{\frac{1}{3}}}, && (3.49)\\ \lim_{f(R_0)\to 8\pi(p_0-\rho_0)} Y(r,t) &= \left[\frac{9m}{2}(t_s-t)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}. && (3.50)\end{aligned}$$

4 Apparent Horizons

The apparent horizon is formed when the boundary of trapped two spheres is formed. The formula to find such a boundary with null outward normals is given as follows

$$g^{\mu\nu}Y_{,\mu}Y_{,\nu}=\dot{Y}^2-(\frac{Y'}{X})^2=0. \tag{4.51}$$

Using Eqs.(3.35) and (3.37), we get

$$\frac{1}{F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]Y^3-3Y+6m=0. \tag{4.52}$$

The values of Y yield the apparent horizons. For $f(R_0) = 8\pi(p_0 - \rho_0)$, we have $Y = 2m$, i.e., Schwarzschild horizon. Equation (4.52) can have the following positive roots.

Case (i): For $3m < \sqrt{\frac{F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}$, we obtain two horizons, namely cosmological horizon, Y_c, and black hole horizon, Y_{bh}, i.e.

$$Y_c = \sqrt{\frac{4F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}\cos\frac{\varphi}{3}, \tag{4.53}$$

$$Y_{bh} = -\sqrt{\frac{4F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}\left(\cos\frac{\varphi}{3} - \sqrt{3}\sin\frac{\varphi}{3}\right), \tag{4.54}$$

where

$$\cos\varphi = -3m\sqrt{\frac{F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}. \tag{4.55}$$

If we take $m = 0$, it follows from Eqs.(4.53) and (4.54) that

$$Y_c = \sqrt{\frac{3F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{bh} = 0. \tag{4.56}$$

For $m \neq 0$ and $f(R_0) \neq 8\pi(p_0 - \rho_0)$, Y_c and Y_{bh} can be generalized [31] respectively.

Case (ii): For $3m = \sqrt{\frac{F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}$, there is only one positive root which corresponds to a single horizon i.e.,

$$Y_c = Y_{bh} = \sqrt{\frac{F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}. \tag{4.57}$$

This shows that both horizons coincide. The ranges for these horizons can be written as follows

$$0 \leq Y_{bh} \leq \sqrt{\frac{F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}} \leq Y_c \leq \sqrt{\frac{3F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}. \tag{4.58}$$

The largest proper area of the black hole horizon is given by

$$4\pi Y^2 = \frac{8\pi F(R_0)}{8\pi p_0(p_0 - \rho_0) - f(R_0)} \tag{4.59}$$

and the cosmological horizon has its area between

$$\frac{8\pi F(R_0)}{8\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-f(R_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{24\pi F(R_0)}{8\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-f(R_0)} \tag{4.60}$$

Case (iii): For $3m > \sqrt{\frac{F(R_0)}{4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)}}$, there are no positive roots and consequently there are no apparent horizons.

Now we calculate the time of formation for the apparent horizon using Eqs.(3.46) and (4.52)

$$t_n = t_s - \sqrt{\frac{4F(R_0)}{12\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{3}{2}f(R_0)}}\sinh^{-1}(\frac{Y_n}{2m}-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (n=1,2). \tag{4.61}$$

When $f(R_0) \to 8\pi(p_0-\rho_0)$, this corresponds to Tolman-Bondi solution [30] given as

$$t_{ah} = t_s - \frac{4}{3}m. \tag{4.62}$$

From Eq.(4.61), we can write

$$\frac{Y_n}{2m} = \cosh^2\alpha_n, \tag{4.63}$$

where

$$\alpha_n(r,t) = \sqrt{\frac{3(4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0))}{4F(R_0)}}[t_s(r)-tn)]. \tag{4.64}$$

Equations (4.58) and (4.61) imply that $Y_c \geq Y_{bh}$ and $t_2 \geq t_1$ respectively. Here t_1 denotes the time formation of cosmological horizon and t_2 denotes the time formation of black hole horizon. The inequality $t_2 \geq t_1$ indicates that the cosmological horizon forms earlier than the black hole horizon.

The time difference between the formation of cosmological horizon and singularity and the formation of black hole horizon and singularity respectively can be found as follows. Using Eqs.(4.53)-(4.55), it follows that

$$\frac{d(\frac{Y_c}{2m})}{dm} = \frac{1}{m}\left(-\frac{\sin\frac{\varphi}{3}}{\sin\varphi}+\frac{3\cos\frac{\varphi}{3}}{\cos\varphi}\right) < 0, \tag{4.65}$$

$$\frac{d(\frac{Y_{bh}}{2m})}{dm} = \frac{1}{m}\left(-\frac{\sin\frac{(\varphi+4\pi)}{3}}{\sin\varphi}+\frac{3\cos\frac{(\varphi+4\pi)}{3}}{\cos\varphi}\right) > 0. \tag{4.66}$$

The time difference between the formation of singularity and apparent horizons is

$$T_n = t_s - t_n. \tag{4.67}$$

It follows from Eq.(4.63) that

$$\frac{dT_n}{d(\frac{Y_n}{2m})} = \frac{1}{\sinh\alpha_n \cosh\alpha_n \sqrt{\frac{3}{F(R_0)}[4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)]}}. \tag{4.68}$$

Using Eqs.(4.65) and (4.68), we get

$$\frac{dT_1}{dm} = \frac{dT_1}{d(\frac{Y_c}{2m})}\frac{d(\frac{Y_c}{2m})}{dm} = \frac{1}{m\sqrt{\frac{3}{F(R_0)}[4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)]}\sinh\alpha_1\cosh\alpha_1} \times\left(-\frac{\sin\frac{\varphi}{3}}{\sin\varphi}+\frac{3\cos\frac{\varphi}{3}}{\cos\varphi}\right) < 0 \tag{4.69}$$

It shows that T_1 is a decreasing function of mass m. This means that time interval between the formation of cosmological horizon and singularity is decreased with the increase of mass. Similarly, from Eqs.(4.66) and (4.68), we get

$$\frac{dT_2}{dm} = \frac{1}{m\sqrt{\frac{3}{F(R_0)}[4\pi(p_0-\rho_0)-\frac{1}{2}f(R_0)]}\sinh\alpha_2\cosh\alpha_2} \times\left(-\frac{\sin\frac{(\varphi+4\pi)}{3}}{\sin\varphi}+\frac{3\cos\frac{(\varphi+4\pi)}{3}}{\cos\varphi}\right) > 0. \tag{4.70}$$

This indicates that T_2 is an increasing function of mass m showing that the time difference between the formation of black hole horizon and singularity is increased with the increase of mass.

5 Summary and Discussion

In this paper we have discussed different aspects of gravitational collapse in metric $f(R)$ gravity. For this purpose, the field equations are solved using the assumption of constant scalar curvature. To meet the requirement of gravitational collapse, we assume that scalar curvature is very large constant quantity. We obtain two physical apparent horizons (Eq.(4.52)) named as black hole horizon and cosmological horizon. It is concluded that black hole horizon requires more time for its formation as compared to cosmological horizon. However, both the horizons form earlier than singularity which indicates that singularity is covered, i.e, black hole. In this way, $f(R)$ gravity seems to support CCC.

Now we discuss how the constant scalar curvature term $f(R_0)$ affects the rate of gravitational collapse. For the exterior metric, the Newtonian potential, $\phi = \frac{1}{2}(1 - g_{00})$, becomes

$$\phi(R) = \frac{m}{R} + \frac{R^2}{6F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]. \tag{5.71}$$

Taking derivative of this equation with respect to R, we obtain the corresponding Newtonian force

$$F = -\frac{m}{R^2} + \frac{R}{3F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]. \tag{5.72}$$

This force has no effect on collapsing matter for the following values of m and R

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{\frac{1}{F(R_0)}[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)]}} \\ R &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{F(R_0)}[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)]}}. \end{aligned}$$

However, the force will be repulsive (positive) if the values of m and R are larger than the above values, provided that $\frac{1}{F(R_0)}[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)] > 0$. In other words, the repulsive force can be generated by $f(R_0)$ if $f(R_0) < 8\pi(p_0 - \rho_0)$ over the entire range of the collapsing sphere. Hence, this repulsive force slows down the collapse of matter. The case of attractive (negative) force contradicts Eq.(3.44) and hence our results do not favor the faster rate of the collapsing process. The above results can also be verified from Eq.(3.37) indicating the acceleration of collapsing matter as follows

$$\ddot{Y} = -\frac{m}{Y^2} + \frac{1}{F(R_0)}\left[4\pi(p_0 - \rho_0) - \frac{1}{2}f(R_0)\right]\frac{Y}{3}. \tag{5.73}$$

The analysis of positive and negative acceleration provides the same results on $f(R_0)$ as we obtained from the Newtonian force.

We would like to mention here why to explore the constant curvature solution in a typical modified modified $f(R)$ gravity. To generate the accelerating expansion in the present universe, $f(R)$ could be a small constant [32]. The universe starts from the inflation driven by the effective cosmological constant at the early stage, where curvature is very large. When the curvature becomes smaller, the effective cosmological constant also becomes smaller. There appears the small effective cosmological constant when the density of the radiation and the matter becomes small and the curvature goes to the value R_0. Thus expansion could start and cosmological constant can be identified as $f(R_0)$ in the present accelerating era.

It is well-known that only those $f(R)$ models are cosmologically viable whose solution correspond to general relativity. The class of constant scalar curvature solutions with spherically symmetry contains black hole solutions in the presence of cosmological constant. For example, the Schwarzschild anti de-Sitter solutions and all the topological solutions associated with a negative Λ_{eff}. Exact solutions of cylindrical symmetric spacetimes with assumptions of constant scalar curvature are applicable to the exterior of a string.

Finally, we conclude that the term $f(R_0)$ plays the same role as that of the cosmological constant in the Einstein field equations [24]. In general relativity, the cosmological constant acts as a repulsive force (hence slows down the collapse of matter) and same does $f(R_0)$. It would be worthwhile to investigate these issues in $f(R)$ theory by dropping the assumption of scalar curvature for complete understanding of gravitational collapse.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the Higher Education Commission, Islamabad, Pakistan for its financial support through the *Indigenous Ph.D. 5000 Fellowship Program Batch-III.*

References

[1] Sahani, V. and Starobinsky, A.A.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D9**(2000)373.

[2] Sahani, V. and Starobinsky, A.A.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D15**(2006)2195; Sami, M.: Lecture Notes Phys. **72**(2007)219;

Peebles, P.J.E. and Ratra, B.: Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**(2003)559.

[3] Copeland, E.J., Sami, M. and Tsujikawa, S.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D15**(2006)1753.

[4] Capozziello, S.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D11**(2002)483;
Abdalla, M.C.B., Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, S.D.: Class. Quantum Grav. **22**(2005)L35;
Sotiriou, T.P.: Class. Quantum Grav. **23**(2006)5117.

[5] Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Lett. **B646**(2007)105; *ibid.* **B659**(2008)821;
Bertolami, O. and Pármos, J.: Class. Quantum Grav. **25**(2008)245017;
Santose, J. Alcaniz, J.S., Carvalho, F.C. and Pires, N.: Phys. Lett. **B669**(2008)14.

[6] Capozziello, S. and Francaviglia, M.: Gen. Rel. Gravit. **40**(2008)357;
Harko, T.: Phys. Lett. **B669**(2008)376;
Dev, A. *et al.*: Phys. Rev. **D78**(2008)083515.

[7] Chiba, T.: Phys. Lett. **B575**(2003)1;
Amendola, L. and Tsujikawa, S.: Phys. Lett. **B660**(2008)125;
Lecian, O.M. and Montani, G.: Class. Quantum Grav. **26**(2009)045014.

[8] Dicke, R.: Gen. Rel. Gravit. **36**(2004)217;
Sotiriou, T.P.: Phys. Rev. **D73**(2006)063515; Gen. Rel. Gravit. **38**(2006)1407.

[9] Dolgov, A.D. and Kawasaki, M.: Phys. Lett. **B573**(2003)1;
Faraoni, V.: Phys. Rev. **D74**(2006)104017;
Sotiriou, T.P.: Phys. Lett. **B645**(2007)389.

[10] Frolov, A.V.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**(2008)061103;

[11] Kung, J.H.: Phys. Rev. **D53**(1996)3017;
Bergliaffa, S.E.P.: Phys. Lett. **B642**(2006)311;
Bertolami, O. and Sequeira, M.C.: Phys. Rev. **D79**(2009)104010.

[12] Amendola, L., Polarski, D. and Tsujikawa S.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**(2007)131302.

[13] Erickcek, A.L., Smith, T.L. and Kamionkowski, M.: Phys. Rev. **D74**(2006)121501.

[14] Kainulainen, K., Reijonen, V. and Sunhede, D.: Phys. Rev. **D76**(2007)043503.

[15] Oppenheimer, J.R. and Synder, H.: Phys. Rev. **56**(1939)455.

[16] Herrera, L. and Santos, N.O.: Phys. Rev. **D70**(2004)084004.

[17] Hawking, S.W. and Ellis, G.F.R.: *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975).

[18] Penrose, R.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **14**(1965)57;
Hawking, S.W.: Proc. R. Soc. London **A300**(1967)187;
Hawking, S.W. and Penrose, R.: Proc. R. Soc. London **A314**(1970)529.

[19] Penrose, R.: Riv. Nuovo Cimento **1**(1969)252.

[20] Szekers, P.: Commun. Math. Phys. **41**(1975)55;
Joshi, P.S. and Dwivedi, I.H.: Commun. Math. Phys. **166**(1994)117; Class. Quantum Grav. **16**(1999)41;
Lake, K.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**(1992)3129;
Ori, A. and Piran, T.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**(1987)2137;
Harada, T.: Phys. Rev. **D58**(1998)104015.

[21] Joshi, P.S.: *Global Aspects in Gravitation and Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993).

[22] Markovic, D. and Shapiro, S.L.: Phys. Rev. **D61**(2000)084029.

[23] Lake, K.: Phys. Rev. **D62**(2000)027301.

[24] Sharif, M. and Ahmad, Z.: Mod. Phys. Lett. **A22**(2007)1493; *ibid.* **A22**(2007)2947.

[25] Sharif, M. and Ahmad, Z.: J. Korean Physical Society 52(2008)980; Acta Physica Polonica **B39**(2008)1337.

[26] Sharif, M. and Abbas, G.: Mod. Phys. Lett. **A**(2009, to appear).

[27] Capozziello, S., Stabile, A., Troisi, A.: Class. Quantum Grav. **25**(2008)085005.

[28] Israel, W.: Nuovo Cimento **B44**(1966)1; *ibid.* **B48**(1967)463(E).

[29] Misner, C.W. and Sharp, D.: Phys. Rev. **136**(1964)b571.

[30] Eardley, D.M. and Smarr, L.: Phys. Rev. **D19**(1979)2239.

[31] Hayward, S.A., Shiromizu, T. and Nakao, K.: Phys. Rev. **D49**(1994)5080.

[32] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Lett. **B657**(2007)238.